

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,938	10/21/2003	Kristof Mattheeuws	21334-1269	4002
29450 7	590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
BARLEY SNYDER, LLC			NGUYEN, PHUONGCHI T	
1000 WESTLAKES DRIVE, SUITE 275 BERWYN, PA 19312		5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>D</i> 2. 111, 11	1 19312		2833	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1M		
<u>.</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
2.22	10/689,938	MATTHEEUWS ET A	L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phuongchi Nguyen	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this comm  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for a	- illowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the m	erits is		
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-13,15-22 and 24-27</u> is/are with above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-13, 15-22 and 24-27</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are object to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	•	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority doct 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doct 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		

Art Unit: 2833

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The correct drawing of December 15, 2003 for Fig.1 and 6-8 have been accepted.

Applicant's amendment of September 29, 2004 is acknowledged. It is noted that the specification and claims 1, 10 and 16 are amended. Claims 8, 14 and 23 are canceled. New claims 25-27 are added.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-13, 15-22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (US6488319B2) in view of Hwang (US6142812) and Alexander R. Brishka (US3569903).

In regards to claim 1, Jones discloses the latching fingers (25, 29, 31, 33) being of at least a first type (of 33) and a second type (of 31), the first type (of 33) having a different length than the second type (of 31) (figures 4 and 6). However, Jones lacks the locking ring having latching fingers that engage the groove of the second connector and an unlocking member surround the first connector. Hwang teaches an electrical connector, comprising a first connector member (101) having a first contact (7), a second connector member (102) having a groove (9a) and a second contact (6) for electrical connection with the first contact (7), and locking ring (3) disposed on the first connector member (101), the locking ring (3) having latching fingers (forming by cutting portion 3a) that engage the groove (9a) when the first connector member (101) and the second connector member (102) are mated to lock the first connector member (101) to the second connector member (102) (figures 3 and 5) and an unlocking member (8)

formed to slide adjacent to a surface of the first connector member (101) and formed to engage the latching members (3) to release the latching members (3) from the groove (9a) (column 4, lines 24-32) and Alexander R. Brishka teaches an unlocking member (12) surround the first connector (19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to provide the latching fingers of Jones with the first and second type lengths on the electrical connector and an unlocking member as taught by Hwang for increasing the connection between two electrical connectors by the locking ring and for ease releasing the first connector; and to modify the unlock member (8) as taught by Hwang by having a surround portion (of 21) that extending from the outer flange (48) of the unlock member (12) as taught by Alexander R. Brishka for having a outer holder to slide the unlock member.

In regards to claim 2, Jones discloses the connector wherein the first type (of 33) formed at a different angle with respect to a plane (23) (or axis 35) of the locking ring (19) than the second type (of 31) (figure 6).

In regards to claim 3, Jones discloses the connector wherein the second type (of 31) has a shorter length (of finger 31) and a smaller angle (forming by axis 35 and finger 31) than the first type (of 33).

In regards to claim 4, Jones discloses the connector further comprising a third type (of 29) of the latching fingers (25, 29, 31, 33), the third type (of 29) has a shorter length (of finger 29) and a smaller angle (forming by axis 35 and finger 29) than the second type (of 31).

In regards to claim 5, Jones discloses the connector wherein the latching fingers (25, 29, 31, 33) extend from an inner side of the locking ring (19) toward a lower side of the plane (23) of the locking ring (19) (figures 3 and 6).

Art Unit: 2833

In regards to claim 6, Jones discloses the connector wherein the length of the first type (of 33) and the length of the second type (of 31) vary by more than three percent of the length (it is shown in figure 6).

In regards to claim 7, Jones discloses the invention, but lacks an abutment surface of the first connector. However, Hwang teaches the connector wherein the first connector member (101) includes an abutment surface (adjacent 2) and the latching fingers (forming by cutting portion 3a) hold the second connector member (102) against the abutment surface (adjacent 2) (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to provide an abutment surface of the first connector of Jones to engage the second connector against the abutment surface as taught by Hwang for increasing the good connection between the locking ring and two electrical connectors.

In regards to claim 9, Jones discloses the connector wherein the latching fingers (25, 29, 31, 33) are positioned around an annular surface (of 23) of the locking member (19), the first type (of 33) of the latching fingers (25, 29, 31, 33) are arranged directly across from each other on the annular surface (of 23) and the second type (of 31) of the latching fingers (25, 29, 31, 33) are arranged directly across from each other on the annular surface (of 23) (figures 5 and 6).

Claims 10; 11; 12; 13; 15 are rejected for the same reason of claims 1 and 2; 3 and 4; 5, 7, 9, respectively.

In regards to claim 16, Jones further discloses the first type (of 33) is a first distance from an annular surface (of 23) to an engaging end surface (of mating edge 20) of the connector (18), the second type (of 31) is a second distance from an annular surface (of 23) to an engaging end surface (of mating pin 20) of the connector (18) (figure 2), Jones lacks an abutment surface of the first connector and the groove of the second connector. However, Hwang teaches an

abutment surface (adjacent 2) of the first connector (101) and the groove (9a) of the second connector (102) (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to compare the distance from the different length types of latching fingers of Jones when the two connectors are inserted and locked together at the groove as taught by Hwang for having three different engaging points of the locking fingers at the groove of the second connector.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected for the same reason of claims 2 and 3.

In regards to claim 19, Jones further discloses the third type (of 29) is a third distance from an annular surface (of 23) to an engaging end surface (of mating edge 20) of the connector (18) (figure 2), Jones lacks an abutment surface of the first connector and the groove of the second connector. However, Hwang teaches an abutment surface (adjacent 2) of the first connector (101) and the groove (9a) of the second connector (102) (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to compare the distance from the different length types of latching fingers of Jones when the two connectors are inserted and locked together at the groove as taught by Hwang for having a third different engaging point of the locking fingers at the groove of the second connector.

Claims 20, 21, 22 and 24 are rejected for the same reason of claims 5, 6, 7 and 9, respectively.

In regards to claims 25, 26 and 27, after the unlocking member (8) as taught by Hwang is modified by having a surround portion (of 21) that extending from the outer flange (48) of the unlock member (12) as taught by Alexander R. Brishka for having a outer holder to slide the unlock member as being rejected in claim 1 above; the unlocking member (12) as taught by Alexander R. Brishka has an outer sleeve (21) and an inner sleeve (46) parallel to the outer

Application/Control Number: 10/689,938 Page 6

Art Unit: 2833

sleeve (21) such that the first connector member (19) is received in an annular receiving chamber formed (by inner 12) there between, the inner sleeve (46) having an annular flanges (49) for engaging the flange surface (32).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10 and 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchi Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2012. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:00PM.

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**PCN** 

November 18, 2004